State of Washington Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office

substitute for OMB No. 2040-0057 and EPA form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94)

| WATER COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT (last life update 12-95.) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., PCS) | | | | | | |
| Transaction Code | yr/mo/day 12 2016-05-19 17 | | | ection Type 18 R | Inspector 19 <u>S</u> | Fac Type 20 2 |
| Remarks | | | | | | |
| Inspection work days Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating | | ві Т | QA | Γ | Reserved- | |
| 67 1.0 69 70 5 | | Ň | 72 N | 1 | 4 75 | 80 |
| Section B: Facility Data | | | | | | |
| Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, POTW name and NPDES permit number) Icicle Acquisition Subsidiary LLC, dba American Gold Seafoods Site 3, Deepwater Bay Bellingham Channel, Skagit County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Aquaculture | , also includ | 10 Ex 12 Other F | it Time / E 2:30 pm, facility Dat | 05/19/201 Date 05/19/201 | 6 06 Permit E 6 10/ | ffective Date 5/26/07 Expiration Date 26/2012 Lextended |
| Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number. PO Box 669 Anacortes, WA 98221 Phone Numbe Contacted : Yes, on site | | |) – 21 st A tle, WA | | | |
| Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (Check only those areas evaluated) | | | | | | |
| □ Permit □ Flow Measurement □ □ Records/Reports □ Self-Monitoring Program □ □ Facility Site Review □ Compliance Schedules □ □ Effluent/Receiving water □ Laboratory □ | Operations&Maint. Sludge Handling/Disposal Pretreatment Storm Water | | | sal 🔲 | CSO/SSO (Sewer Overflow) Pollution Prevention Multimedia fish mortality exam | |
| Section D: Summary of Find | ndings/Comr | ment | | | | -2 22 |
| On May 19, 2016, the Department of Ecology (Ecology) employees persons Catherine Gockel and Ashley Grompe visited the American about net pens. We also passed by Sites 1 & 2 on the company crevisalmon net pens covered under three separate NPDES permits. The staff to gain familiarity with net pen operations. This was an announced with the met with the and the pen operations of the AGS office to the Anacortes dock and we took the AGS boat to Site 3 to review | n Gold Se w boat. T ne purpos ced inspe se on O St | afoods These a se of the ection. treet ir | s (AGS), are three is insped Anacor | Icicle Acq Deepwate ction was f tes. After in | uisition Site 3 er Bay marine or Ecology a ntroductions, | to learn Atlantic Atlantic Atlantic Material |

DISCUSSION

using boots supplied by the permit holder and boot rinsing in an iodine solution.

American Gold Seafoods Site 3, Deepwater Bay, near Cypress Island is located in Bellingham Channel, northwest of the city of Anacortes. This marine Atlantic salmon net pen facility has been at this location since January, 1987. It was last inspected by Ecology's former aquaculture expert, Lori LeVander, less than a year ago, when she completed compliance inspections of all three sites at this location. This inspection was to gain general information about net pens.

We discussed general aspects of net pen operations. The amount of fish grown, food sources, feeding, mortality, predator protection, net cleaning, and fueling practices for equipment. As an informational tour, we asked questions in general about operations instead of details related to permit compliance. Observations did not suggest any permit violations.

The company veterinarian was on site examining mortality (dead fish) that had been retrieved from the bottom of the pens. Some mortality had been partially eaten by a seal that penetrated the predator barrier net installed around the fish holding pens. The vet showed us growth indicators and yellow spots of bacteria in the mouths of the mortalities. He said that they sample bacteria detected in the dead fish and culture it. If the cultures show the same species of bacteria that may indicate treatment is needed due to an infection shared by fish in the pens. If the cultures show an assortment of bacteria, that indicates that bacteria are at background levels and normal. The mortalities were packed in totes after examination.

Nets are removed and shipped to Canada for cleaning when after the pens are emptied. Small amounts of fuel is stored onsite in double wall bins. Fuel is also transferred from a service ship to tanks on the pens. Fish are grown over about a year and half. Feed is metered out and monitored with cameras mounted underwater below the net pens. During our visit, only Site 3 was stocked with fish. Sites 1 & 2 were being returned to service.

After visiting the pens we returned to Anacortes on the company boat and exited at 12:30 PM. The inspection was informative and we thanked company officials for their help with explaining the operation of the net pens.

cc: Catherine Gockel, EPA

Central Files: Icicle Seafoods Acquisition LLC, Site 3, Cypress Island, Deepwater Bay, WA003158-5, WQ 6.1





Site 3 location north of Anacortes

Name(s) and Signatures of Inspector(s)

Agency/Office/Telephone
WA Dept. of Ecology/NWRO/
3190 160th SE, Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

Same as above

5 26 16

Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers
WA Dept. of Ecology/NWRO/
fax (425)649-7098

ANNOUNCED Inspection



PHOTO #:01 DATE: 05/19/2016
TAKEN BY:
DESCRIPTION: SITE 3

PHOTO #:02 DATE: TAKEN BY:

DESCRIPTION:

PHOTO #:03 DATE: TAKEN BY:
DESCRIPTION: .

PHOTO #:04 DATE: TAKEN BY:

DESCRIPTION:

Appendix E

INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Date System Coding (i.e., PCS)

Column 1: Transaction Code. Use N, C, or D for New Change or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number. (Use the Remarks columns to record State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 94/06/30 = June 30, 1994).

Column 18: Inspection Type. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

A Performance Audit
 B Compliance Biomonitoring
 C Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling)
 D Diagnostic

E Corps of Engineers Inspection

F Pretreatment Follow-up
G Pretreatment Audit

I Industrial User (IU) Inspection

L Enforcement Case Support

M Multimedia
P Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

R Reconnaissance S Compliance Sampling

3 Compliance Sampling

U IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit
X Toxics Inspection

X Toxics In Z Sludge

2 IU Sampling Inspection

3 IU Non-Sampling Inspection

4 IU Toxics Inspection

IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment

3 IU Non-Sampling Inspection with pretreatment

7 IU Toxics with Pretreatment

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

C - Contractor or Other Inspectors (Specify in Remarks Columns)

E - Corps of Engineers

J - Joint EPA/State Inspectors - EPA Lead

N - NEIC Inspectors

R - EPA Regional Inspector

S - State Inspector

T - Joint State/EPA Inspectors - State Lead

Column 20: Facility Type. Use of one of the codes below to describe the facility.

1 - Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.

2 - Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.

3 - Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971.

4 - Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, and other updates to the record).

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection. The heading marked "Multimedia" may indicate medias such as CAA, RCRA, and TSCA. The heading marked "Other" may indicate activities such as SPCC, BMPs, and concerns that are not covered elsewhere.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.